

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

KM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/306,006	05/06/99	SUPERSAXO	A NB/2-21551/A

000324 HM22/0404
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION
PATENT DEPARTMENT
540 WHITE PLAINS RD
P O BOX 2005
TARRYTOWN NY 10591-9005

EXAMINER

SHARAREH, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1619

H
DATE MAILED: 04/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.
09/306,006

Applicant(s)

Supersaxo et al

Examiner

Shahnam Sharareh

Group Art Unit

1619



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

a) expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Mar 22, 2001 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

The proposed amendment(s):

will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.

will not be entered because:

they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).

they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).

they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: the amendments also change the scope of claim 6 raising new issues.

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the combined teachings of Weder and WO 96/37192 meet the limitations of the instant claims. Applicant argues that WO patent does not specify the use of triglyceride. Examiner states that WO patent describes the use (see other)

The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: 2, 6, 10, 15-21, 24, 28, and 29

The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has has not been approved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Other of triglycerides in similar nanodispersions having similar particle size, therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art can improve one aspect of Weder's composition such as enhancing its stability, because the use of triglyceride for this purpose is well known. Further improving the particle size as shown in the 132 Declaration is an expected results as shown in WO patent (example2).

DIANA DUDASH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600